Course Outline

Norms and Public Intervention

LECON2411 2017-2018 Université Catholique Louvain Prof. Javier Olivera javier.olivera@uclouvain.be javier.olivera@liser.lu Wednesdays 08.30-10.30

Objectives

To expose students to the norms and values underlying different theories of social justice and to link these norms to different types of public intervention.

Set-up

The course is based on active learning. Before each session the student must read a text and answer some questions. The answers to the different questions are discussed in the classroom. For every session, a group of (approximately two) students is exempted from answering questions, but prepares a presentation (30'- 45') based on a related paper and presents it at the end of the session. Every group receives their paper two weeks in advance.

Evaluation

The evaluation consists of three parts:

- a. Written answers to questions + class interaction;
- b. Slides + presentation;
- c. Final open book exam.

The final grade is calculated as follows:

- If a student passes the final exam, then his/her final grade = $0.3 \times (a) + 0.2 \times (b) + 0.5 \times (c)$;
- If a student fails the final exam, then his/her final grade = 1 x (c).

Topics

Lecture 1	Introduction
07-feb	
Lecture 2, 3	Redistribution
21-feb	• (*) Meltzer, A. and S. Richard (1981). A rational theory of the size of the
28-feb	government. The Journal of Political Economy, 89(5): 914-927.
	• (*) Alesina, A. and P. Giuliano (2011). Preferences for Redistribution. In:
	Handbook of Social Economics, 93-132. North Holland.
	Kuziemko, I. M. I. Norton, E. Saez, and S. Stantcheva (2015). How elastic are
	preferences for redistribution? Evidence from randomized survey
	experiments. American Economic Review, 105(4): 1478-1508.
	Karabarbounis, L. (2011). One dollar, one vote. The Economic Journal, 121,
	621–649.

Lecture 4	Inequality
07-mar	• (*) Atkinson, A. B. (2015). Inequality. What Can be Done? Harvard University
	Press. (First part)
	 Anand, S., Segal, P. (2015) The Global Distribution of Income. In: Anthony B.
	Atkinson and François Bourguignon (eds.), Handbook of Income
	Distribution, Volume II, North-Holland, 2015.
Lecture 5, 6, 7	Happiness and wellbeing
14-mar	• (*) Clark, A. E., P. Frijters, and M. A. Shields (2008). Relative income,
21-mar	happiness, and utility: an explanation for the Easterlin paradox and other
28-mar	puzzles. Journal of Economic Literature, 46(1): 95-144.
	 Alesina, A.; R. Di Tella and R. MacCulloch (2004). Inequality and happiness:
	are Europeans and Americans different? Journal of Public Economics 88:
	2009-2042.
	 Deaton, A. (2008). "Income, Health and Wellbeing Around the World:
	Evidence from the Gallup World Poll." Journal of Economic Perspectives 22
	(2): 53-72.
	 (*) Decancq, Koen, Marc Fleurbaey and Erik Schokkaert (2015) Inequality,
	income, and well-being. in: Anthony B. Atkinson and François Bourguignon
	(eds.) Handbook on Income Distribution, Volume 2A. Elsevier. pp. 67-140.
	Sen Amartya (1980) Equality of What?. In: McMurrin S Tanner Lectures on
	Human Values, Volume 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1980.
Lecture 8	Trust
18-apr	• (*) Algan, Y.; P. Cahuc and M. Sangnier (2016). Trust and the Welfare State:
10 api	the Twin Peaks Curve. Economic Journal 126(593): 861-883.
	Rothstein, B., and E. M. Uslaner (2005). All for all: Equality, corruption, and
	social trust. World Politics, 58(1): 41-72.
	 Alesina, A. and E. La Ferrara (2002) Who trusts others?, Journal of Public
	Economics 85: 207-234.
Lecture 9, 10	Equality of opportunity
25-apr	• (*) Roemer, John and Alain Trannoy (2016) Equality of Opportunity: Theory
02-may	and Measurement Journal of Economic Literature 54(4): 1288-1332.
,	Ferreira, Francisco and Vito Peragine (2016) Individual Responsibility and
	Equality of Opportunity. In: Matthew Adler and Marc Fleurbaey (eds.)
	Oxford Handbook of Well-Being and Public Policy. Oxford: Oxford University
	Press.
<u>Lecture 11, 12</u>	Behavioural public economics
09-may	• (*) Camerer, C.; S. Issacharoff; G. Loewenstein; T. O'Donoghue and M. Rabin
16-may	(2003) Regulation for Conservatives: Behavioral Economics and the Case for
,	"Asymmetric Paternalism". University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 151:
	1211-1254.
	Bernheim, B.D., A. Rangel (2007) Behavioral Public Economics: Welfare and
	Policy Analysis With Non-standard Decision-Makers, in P. Diamond & H.
	Vartiainen (eds.), Behavioral Economics and its Applications, Princeton
	University Press, 2007.

(*) indicates compulsory reading